Wireless Networks at Umass-Amherst

Scott Conti Christopher Misra

UMASS-Amherst Network Vital Statistics



Class B network (umass.edu - 128.119) ♦ 142 buildings All 42 Residential buildings networked 8800 Residence hall connections (port-per-pillow) ♦ 5500 Academic building connections ♦ 900- Cisco 24 port Switches (1900 and 2900 series) ♦ 5 Cisco 6509 core switches, 2 Cisco 5500 switches 600 Off-campus dial-in modem lines (2) DS-3 (45mb/s) commodity Internet connections DS-3 - Internet2 connection

UMASS at night





Wireless = Mobility



Equipment used



802.11b – 2.4ghz – 11mbps
Cisco Aironet 350 series
Cisco switches
Aironet antennas



Typical Enclosure installation





Library Installation





Inside of Enclosure





Ceiling Mount Antenna







dB Factors



Increase	Factor	Decrease	Factor
OdB	1x	0db	1x
1dB	1.25x	-1dB	.8x
3dB	2x	-3dB	.5x
6dB	4x	-6dB	.25x
10dB	10x	-10dB	.1x
12dB	16x	-12dB	.06x
20dB	100x	-20dB	.01x
30dB	1000x	-30dB	.001x

Connectors



Warning ! FCC Part 15 and rule 94 requires the use of RTNC Connectors !
These are different from ordinary TNC connectors.
RTNC connectors are "transsexual" – They use the male body and the female dielectric and pins.



Omnidirectional Antennas



 Good choices where antenna is placed in the "middle" of the area to be covered.
 Tend to have low gain since signal is

divided over 360 degrees.

Omnidirectional Antennas





Directional Gain Antennas





Diversity Antennas



Diversity antennas have 2 antennas in a single enclosure. Diversity antennas are good choices where there will be signal reflections. The Cisco Aironet 350 "votes" for the stronger signal by antenna at the start of receiving each packet, then transmits out the same antenna.

Diversity Antennas





Site Surveys



Start with Blueprints Never believe the prints ! Walls move... Construction materials not shown Walk-around Select antenna/enclosure locations

Pay attention to wall materials !

Never Believe Prints...





Library Structure





RF-Hell...





Women's Room

1,000



ille m

UMASS-Amherst Network Map





Initial Design Goals



Virtual Classroom

- We closed some labs due to budget constraints
- Wireless network is meant to reproduce similar function
- Focused at public areas where students gather
 - Not initially a 'campus-wide' rollout
- Scalable
 - Although initial rollout is targeted, design must fit campus-wide

Initial Design Requirements



Identification & Authentication We register all MAC addresses in Residence Halls Accountability Encryption Too many plaintext protocols still in use Card heterogeneity We don't enforce a single vendor for wired network cards... This limited our set of solutions

Initial Constraints



Short time to implement

- First pilot discussed in late November 2001
- First pilot went live late January 2002
- Phase 1 production rollout March 2002
- Didn't want a campus wide VLAN
 - VLANs are local to our 6 major nodesites
 - We don't switch VLANs across our backbone
 - This meant a parallel rfc1918 network
- Management driven

Initial Assumptions



No pre-existing campus wireless implementation Some local deployments

Netstumbler is your friend

MAC address filtering doesn't scale

- Based half on fact
- Didn't feel 'right'

WEP alone is likely insufficient

WEP Weaknesses



In case we haven't all seen this already... WEP uses RC4 encryption

Fluhrer, Mantin, and Shamir described a passive, ciphertext-only attack against RC4

 Specifically targeting the key scheduling algorithm of RC4

http://www.cryptonomicon.net/papers/rc4_ksaproc.pdf

WEP Weaknesses



Stubblefield, Ioannidis, and Rubin implemented the attack against the RC4 weakness (6 Aug 2001)

- Using only off-the-shelf hardware, and some custom software
- Large amounts of data are needed for the attack
 - "We conclude that 802.11 WEP is totally insecure, and we provide some recommendations."
- http://www.cs.rice.edu/~astubble/wep/

WEP Weaknesses



 Nikita Borisov, Ian Goldberg, and David Wagner did an an analysis 30 Jan 2001
 "Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP) isn't"
 http://www.isaac.cs.berkeley.edu/isaac/wep-fag.html

 We felt justified in saying WEP is insufficient for our implementation
 We are network security guys. We try do design secure systems...

Authentication and Access Control



We considered four options **Wireless with WEP** Insufficient... Wireless with dynamic WEP Dynamic WEP is better, but... Basically a race condition Most implementations require card homogeneity

Authentication and Access Control



We considered four options Wireless with WEP and VPN WEP didn't improve the situation in this model Added management overhead Wireless with VPN, no WEP What we ended up going with Maybe not the best solution, but the best for us given our environment

Wireless Network Topology



Private DHCP/DNS for wireless network Same hostname for VPN from wireless and wired To minimize client configuration changes Really just DNS spoofing Runs over campus network backbone Using rfc1918 address space Parallel mapping to routable IP space If bldg is 128.119.10.0/24, wireless is 172.17.10.0/24



Basic Diagram for our Users





Enforcing the use of VPN



Rules without consequences are merely suggestions Enforced with Cisco ACLs access-list 120 permit esp 172.17.78.192 0.0.0.63 host 172.17.3.190 access-list 120 permit udp 172.17.78.192 0.0.0.63 host 172.17.3.190 eq isakmp access-list 120 permit udp 172.17.78.192 0.0.0.63 host 172.17.175.14 eq domain access-list 120 permit udp 172.17.78.192 0.0.0.63 host 172.17.166.14 eq domain access-list 120 permit tcp 172.17.78.192 0.0.0.63 host 172.17.175.14 eq domain access-list 120 deny ip any any log

Benefits and Drawbacks



Benefits

- VPN provides encryption and authentication
- Use of VPN is required for any access outside of wireless network
- Not necessary to track/filter MAC address
- Limited to authorized users
- Drawbacks
 - Client software install required
 - No free Mac client for Cisco VPN 3000
 - Increased overhead
 - No easy access for visitors

Where are we going next?



Looking at some gateway software VPN without the client? **802.1x** Scalability of VPN VPN concentrators at major nodesites? Roaming Access Easier access for authorized visitors ♦802.11a or 802.11g?

Summary



Maybe not the best solution But the right one for us at this time Only time will tell...



