Archival Report

Default Mode Network Subsystems Are Differentially Disrupted in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

Danielle R. Miller, Scott M. Hayes, Jasmeet P. Hayes, Jeffrey M. Spielberg, Ginette Lafleche, and Mieke Verfaellie

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric disorder characterized by debilitating reexperiencing, avoidance, and hyperarousal symptoms following trauma exposure. Recent evidence suggests that individuals with PTSD show disrupted functional connectivity in the default mode network, an intrinsic network that consists of a midline core, a medial temporal lobe (MTL) subsystem, and a dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (PFC) subsystem. The present study examined whether functional connectivity in these subsystems is differentially disrupted in PTSD.

METHODS: Sixty-nine returning war veterans with PTSD and 44 trauma-exposed veterans without PTSD underwent resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. To examine functional connectivity, seeds were placed in the core hubs of the default mode network, namely the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and anterior medial PFC, and in each subsystem.

RESULTS: Compared to control subjects, individuals with PTSD had reduced functional connectivity between the PCC and the hippocampus, a region of the MTL subsystem. Groups did not differ in connectivity between the PCC and dorsomedial PFC subsystem or between the anterior medial PFC and any region within either subsystem. In the PTSD group, connectivity between the PCC and hippocampus was negatively associated with avoidance/numbing symptoms. Examination of the MTL and dorsomedial PFC subsystems revealed reduced anticorrelation between the ventromedial PFC seed of the MTL subsystem and the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex in the PTSD group.

CONCLUSIONS: Our results suggest that selective alterations in functional connectivity in the MTL subsystem of the default mode network in PTSD may be an important factor in PTSD pathology and symptomatology.

Keywords: Avoidance, Default mode network, Functional connectivity, Medial temporal lobe, PTSD, Resting state fMRI

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.12.006

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a debilitating psychiatric disorder that develops after exposure to highly distressing and life-threatening events. The most common features of PTSD include re-experiencing of the trauma (e.g., flashbacks), avoidance (e.g., avoiding trauma-related stimuli or traumaevoking situations), and hyperarousal symptoms (e.g., hypervigilance). Current neurocircuitry models of PTSD suggest that the medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus are critically involved in mediating the disorder (1-7). According to these models, abnormal structure and function of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vMPFC) in PTSD results in a failure to regulate activity in brain regions that are important for fear expression and appraisal, leading to an exaggerated fear response (3,4,8–13). In addition, alterations in hippocampal function in PTSD may contribute to impaired contextual fear learning (3,4,9,10) and impaired contextual fear extinction recall (11,14,15), an adaptive process that relies on both the hippocampus and vMPFC (16-19). Taken together, these

studies suggest that PTSD is associated with dysregulation of a frontal-medial temporal lobe (MTL) circuit that results in an exaggerated fear response and an inability to extinguish this fear when the context no longer predicts threat.

More recently, studies have used resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to examine connectivity among brain regions that form integrated networks in PTSD. One such network is the default mode network (DMN), which includes the MTL, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), medial PFC, inferior parietal lobule, and lateral temporal cortex (20). Several studies have found PTSD-related alterations in the DMN (21–26), and a recent meta-analysis found that PTSD is consistently associated with reduced functional connectivity (27). Evidence in healthy individuals suggests that the DMN can be further fractionated into a midline core consisting of the PCC and anterior medial PFC (aMPFC) and two functionally and anatomically distinct subsystems (28): an MTL system that includes the vMPFC, posterior inferior parietal lobule,

ISSN: 2451-9022

Published by Elsevier Inc on behalf of Society of Biological Psychiatry. 1

Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging I 2017; I:III-III www.sobp.org/BPCNNI

Downloaded from ClinicalKey.com at President and Fellows of Harvard College on behalf of Harvard University March 09, 2017. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2017. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. retrosplenial cortex, parahippocampal cortex, and hippocampal formation, and a dorsomedial PFC (dMPFC) system that includes the dMPFC, temporoparietal junction, lateral temporal cortex, and temporal pole. These subsystems are differentially affected by MTL lesions (29) and are thought to be involved in distinct cognitive processes (28,30). For example, the MTL subsystem includes regions that are important for learning and memory (30), while the dMPFC subsystem includes regions that are critical for mentalizing and social processing of the self and others (30-32). Although there is evidence that connectivity within the DMN is compromised in PTSD (21–25,27,33), it is currently unknown whether the subsystems of the DMN are differentially disrupted. Because memory alterations appear to be a core feature of the disorder (34,35), we predicted that the MTL subsystem might be particularly affected in PTSD.

In addition to disruptions to the DMN, other networks are also altered in PTSD (23,25,27,36). Networks such as the salience network and central executive network are engaged during externally directed and attention-demanding tasks and are anticorrelated with the DMN. Daniels *et al.* (36) found that individuals with PTSD may have difficulty disengaging the DMN and engaging salience and central executive networks during attention-demanding tasks. In addition, there appears to be increased cross-network connectivity between the DMN and salience network in PTSD at rest (23,27), which suggests that neural networks may be less differentiated in PTSD.

To date, no studies have examined whether there is differential involvement of the DMN subsystems. It is also unknown how these subsystems interact with the salience and central executive networks in PTSD. Although one study (23) examined the connectivity between the DMN and salience network in PTSD using an anterior and posterior DMN seed, these seeds were not selected to probe the distinct subsystems. Here, we used seed-based resting-state fMRI in a large cohort of trauma-exposed war veterans to examine how PTSD affects these DMN subsystems. Given the critical role of the vMPFC and hippocampus in PTSD—two areas associated with the MTL subsystem of the DMN—we hypothesized that PTSD would be associated with decreased DMN functional connectivity specific to the MTL subsystem. In addition, considering recent evidence for diminished network segregation in PTSD (23,27), we hypothesized that PTSD would be associated with increased connectivity (i.e., reduced anticorrelation) between the DMN and regions outside of the DMN, such as those in the salience and central executive networks.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

One hundred thirty-four individuals who were deployed overseas between 1999 and 2013 were recruited for this study through the Veterans Affairs Boston Polytrauma Network and through community outreach as part of a larger study on the cognitive and neural sequelae of mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) and PTSD. Exclusionary criteria for the larger study were age >50 years and questionable effort as determined by raw scores <45 on the retention trial of the Test of Memory Malingering (37). Seven participants were excluded from the study because they had a history of predeployment TBI with loss of consciousness or with symptoms persisting >3 months postinjury (n = 4) or MRI contraindications (n = 3). An additional 14 participants were excluded after scanning because structural brain abnormalities (e.g., hemorrhages or hematomas) were seen on T2-fluid-attenuated inversion recovery scans, susceptibility-weighted imaging, or T1weighted sequences as determined by a board-certified neuroradiologist (n = 5), MRI scan malfunction (n = 4), or because it was subsequently discovered that they did not meet entry criteria for the study (one participant was not a veteran, one had no history of trauma exposure, and three were suspected of having an alcohol-related disorder).

Of the 113 U.S. veterans included in the final sample (see Table 1 for participant characteristics and Supplemental Table S1 for medication details), 69 met the DSM-IV criteria for current PTSD as assessed via the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) (38). The remaining 44 participants

Variable	Controls ($n = 44$)	$PTSD \ (n = 69)$	Group Comparison	
Age in Years, Mean (SD)	29.2 (6.1)	29.4 (6.4)	Mann-Whitney $U = 1498.5, p = .9$	
Males, n (%)	40 (90.9)	67 (97.1)	$\chi^2_1 = 2.1, p > .1$	
WTAR Z Score, Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.9)	0.4 (0.7)	Mann-Whitney $U = 1434.5, p = .6$	
Current Alcoholic Drinks Per Week, Mean (SD)	4.5 (5.9)	5.8 (8.9)	Mann-Whitney $U = 1398.5, p = .5$	
CAPS Total, Mean (SD)	25.0 (14.1)	70.4 (18.1)	$t_{111} = -14.1, p < .001$	
CAPS Re-experiencing, Mean (SD)	6.8 (5.8)	18.5 (7.8)	<i>t</i> ₁₀₉ = −8.5, <i>p</i> < .001	
CAPS Avoidance/Numbing, Mean (SD)	7.4 (6.8)	27.0 (9.2)	$t_{107.3} = -12.9, p < .001$	
CAPS Hyperarousal, Mean (SD)	10.8 (7.0)	24.2 (6.6)	$t_{109} = -10.2, p < .001$	
mTBI Diagnosis, n (%)	14 (31.8)	45 (65.2)	$\chi^2_1 = 12.0, p = .001$	
Combat Exposure, Mean (SD)	7.6 (4.3)	10.6 (3.1)	<i>t</i> _{72.1} = −4.0, <i>p</i> < .001	
Beck Depression Inventory II, Mean (SD)	10.0 (8.3)	22.0 (10.2)	<i>t</i> ₁₁₁ = −6.6, <i>p</i> < .001	
Medication, n (%)	9 (20.5)	35 (50.7)	$\chi^2_1 = 10.4, p = .001$	
Childhood Trauma Exposure, n (%)	5 (11.4)	9 (13.0)	$\gamma^2_1 = 0.08, p = .8$	

 Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

For ease of interpretation, we report the means and SDs for variables that were not normally distributed. Information on whether an individual was exposed to childhood trauma was collected as part of the CAPS interview.

CAPS, Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale; mTBI, mild traumatic brain injury; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; WTAR, Wechsler Test of Adult Reading.

Downloaded from ClinicalKey.com at President and Fellows of Harvard College on behalf of Harvard University March 09, 2017. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2017. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. experienced a criterion A trauma event but did not meet the criteria for PTSD. For two participants, the CAPS was not available; therefore, current PTSD status was determined using a cutoff score of 50 on the PTSD Checklist–Military Version (39).

All individuals underwent an extensive clinical TBI interview with a neuropsychologist described in detail in Verfaellie *et al.* (40). Participants were classified as having no TBI, mild TBI without loss of consciousness, or mild TBI with loss of consciousness. mTBI status was used as a covariate in all analyses.

Image Acquisition

Scans were collected with a 12-channel head coil on a 3T Siemens Trio whole-body MRI scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) located at the Veterans Affairs Boston Healthcare System, Jamaica Plain campus. Two high-resolution structural T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo sequences were collected for each participant: parameters for the first 28 participants¹ were as follows: field of view = 256 mm; matrix size = 240 mm \times 256 mm; 160 slices; voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1.2$ mm; repetition time = 2300 ms; echo time = 2.98 ms; flip angle = 9° . Parameters for the remaining participants included field of view = 256 mm; matrix size 256 mm \times 256 mm; 176 slices; voxel size = 1 \times 1 \times 1 mm; repetition time = 2530 ms; echo time = 3.32 ms; flip angle = 7° . Two six-minute whole-brain functional image series were acquired parallel to the anterior commissureposterior commissure plane using an echoplanar imaging sequence sensitive to the blood oxygenation level-dependent signal: slice order = interleaved; matrix size = 64 \times 64 mm; field of view = 192 mm; thickness = 3.75 mm; voxel size = 3 \times 3 \times 3.75 mm; volumes = 120; repetition time = 3000 ms; echo time = 30 ms; flip angle = 90° . The first five volumes were discarded from each run to allow for equilibration of the scanner signal. Participants were instructed to remain still with their eyes open during fMRI data collection.

Image Processing and Analysis

All preprocessing steps and analyses were carried out using FSL (version 4.1.5; https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/). fMRI data were processed using FSL's FEAT (version 5.98). The following prestatistics processing was applied: motion correction using MCFLIRT (41), slice-timing correction using Fourier-space time-series phase-shifting, non-brain removal using BET (42), spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel of fixed width at half maximum 6.0 mm, grand-mean intensity normalization of the entire four-dimensional dataset by a single multiplicative factor, high-pass temporal filtering (Gaussian-weighted least-squares straight line fitting with $\sigma = 45.0$ seconds), and Gaussian low-pass temporal filtering half width

¹Because differences in the structural magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo sequence could potentially impact registration, we examined functional connectivity as a function of the magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo acquisition parameters. The results revealed no significant differences in functional connectivity. half maximum 2.8 seconds. Registration to high-resolution structural and standard space images was carried out using FLIRT (41,43). Registration from high-resolution structural to standard space was further refined using FNIRT (44,45). Two T1-weighted volumetric images were averaged and then reconstructed using the FreeSurfer image analysis suite (version 5.0; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) for each participant. This averaged, high-resolution image was then used for within-subject registration of structural and functional images.

Whole-brain resting-state fMRI analyses were performed using a seed-based approach. Seeds consisted of four 8-mm spherical regions of interest (ROIs) obtained from Andrews-Hanna et al. (28): PCC (Montreal Neurological Institute [MNI] coordinates = -8, -56, 26), aMPFC (MNI coordinates = -6, 52, -2), dMPFC (MNI coordinates = 0, 52, 26), and vMPFC (MNI coordinates = 0, 26, -18). The PCC and aMPFC seeds were chosen because they represent the two core hubs of the DMN; the dMPFC and vMPFC seeds were selected because they represent the core hubs of the dMPFC and MTL subsystems, respectively. ROIs for cerebrospinal fluid, white matter, and whole brain were created using FreeSurfer. All seeds and ROIs were first transformed to each individual's native space and then the mean time series (based on all of the voxels within the region) was computed. Next, we completed a whole-brain voxelwise analysis assessing the correlation between the seed region and the rest of the brain, with nuisance regressors (i.e., cerebrospinal fluid, white matter, and whole-brain time series along with the six motion parameters) included in the model. To ensure that the regression of the global signal did not create spurious anticorrelations in the data (46), we reanalyzed the data without regressing out the whole-brain time series. The pattern of results did not change and is therefore not reported. Head motion parameters were also examined across groups. Groups did not significantly differ in absolute or relative head motion.

To examine connectivity with each of the four seeds, blood oxygenation level-dependent runs were combined for each participant² using a fixed effects model, by forcing the random effects variance to zero in FLAME (47–49). To determine connectivity differences across groups, group level connectivity maps were generated for each seed using mixed effects model FLAME stage 1 (47–49). Age, current alcohol use (number of drinks per week), and mTBI group status were entered into the model as regressors. *Z* statistic images were thresholded using clusters determined by *Z* < 2.3 with a corrected cluster significance threshold of *p* = .05.

Although false positives are less of a concern with resting state data when using FLAME, given the recent discussion of clusterwise thresholds (50), we re-examined our data using the spatial autocorrelation function and Monte Carlo α simulation in AFNI (version 16.2.09; http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni) (51). Details of this analysis are reported in the Supplemental Methods. The results were consistent with our previous approach, with final results reported using FSL's FLAME program.

²Five participants had only one blood oxygenation level-dependent run available for analysis.

To examine associations between functional connectivity and PTSD symptom cluster scores, *Z* values of significant functional connectivity clusters in the group contrast were extracted from connectivity maps and entered into SPSS software (version 19; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Pearson correlations were calculated between these *Z* values and CAPS re-experiencing, avoidance/numbing, and hyperarousal scores. Two participants for whom CAPS scores were not available were excluded from these analyses. Bonferroni correction was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

Functional Connectivity of the DMN Hubs and Subsystems

Inspection of the two midline core hubs revealed that the PCC and aMPFC seeds showed positive connectivity with regions typical of the DMN for both groups, including medial frontal cortex, middle temporal gyrus, and precuneus/PCC (Figure 1; Supplemental Table S2). Both seeds showed anticorrelations with regions associated with task-positive networks (salience network and central executive network; Figure 1), including the insula, frontal gyri, and parietal lobe (Supplemental Table S2). dMPFC and vMPFC seeds, representing the two subsystems, showed positive connectivity with regions of the DMN and anticorrelations with regions of the salience and central executive networks for both groups (Figure 1; Supplemental Table S2).

Functional Connectivity in PTSD Versus Control Subjects

Connectivity of the DMN Core Hubs. Using the PCC as a seed, individuals with PTSD in comparison to control subjects showed reduced functional connectivity with the hippocampus, a region of the MTL subsystem (Figure 1; Table 2). Groups did not differ in functional connectivity between the PCC and regions of the dMPFC subsystem. No group differences were observed in connectivity between the aMPFC seed and any region.

Connectivity of the DMN Subsystems. Examination of seeds from each DMN subsystem revealed that individuals with PTSD had significantly reduced anticorrelation between the vMPFC seed of the MTL subsystem and the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (Figure 1; Table 2). In contrast, there were no significant group differences in connectivity between the dMPFC seed of the dMPFC subsystem and any region.

Effects of mTBI and Other Covariates. Although the initial analyses controlled for mTBI status, we also examined whether mTBI affected PCC-hippocampal or vMPFC-dorsal anterior cingulate cortex connectivity, which our main analysis showed to be altered by PTSD. The effect of mTBI was not significant (all p values > .2). We also probed in additional models whether medication status, combat exposure, or childhood trauma contributed to the observed effects of PTSD. These additional covariates did not reveal any



Figure 1. Functional connectivity analyses of individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), trauma-exposed control subjects, and group comparisons. Color scale indicates Z score associated with positive functional connectivity (red-yellow) or negative functional connectivity (blue-light blue). (A) Individuals with PTSD showed reduced positive functional connectivity between the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) seed and the hippocampus. There were no significant group differences in functional connectivity between the (B) anterior medial prefrontal cortex (aMPFC) or (C) dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dMPFC) seeds and any region within the brain. (D) Individuals with PTSD showed reduced anticorrelation between the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vMPFC) and the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex. L, left; R, right.

Downloaded from ClinicalKey.com at President and Fellows of Harvard College on behalf of Harvard University March 09, 2017. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2017. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Seed						
	Contrast	Z Max	Х	Y	Z	Brain Region
Left PCC	PTSD < controls	4.05	28	-38	2	Right parahippocampus/hippocampus
		3.67	18	-54	28	Right PCC ^a
vMPFC PTSD < contro	PTSD < controls	4.87	26	58	-4	Right frontal pole ^a
		4.35	6	30	30	Right dorsal anterior cingulate cortex

Table 2. Differences in Functional Connectivity Between PTSD and Control Participants

Coordinates are in Montreal Neurological Institute space. The PTSD < control subjects contrast in the vMPFC seed reflects a reduced anticorrelation in the PTSD group. Only peak coordinates of clusters are listed. Subclusters are not reported.

PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; vMPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

^aDid not survive using AFNI's autocorrelation option and Monte Carlo α simulation.

significant associations with PCC-hippocampal or vMPFCdorsal anterior cingulate cortex functional connectivity (all p values > .1). PTSD remained a significant predictor in the functional connectivity of these regions after inclusion of these covariates in the models (all p values < .001).

Effects of Depression. Depression and PTSD were highly correlated in our sample (r = .70, p < .001), raising the question as to whether the observed PTSD alterations could be linked specifically to PTSD. To examine this question, depression symptom severity (as measured by the Beck Depression Inventory-II) was included as an additional predictor in hierarchal linear regression models. The pattern of results did not change.

Correlations Between Functional Connectivity and PTSD Symptom Cluster Scores

Within the PTSD group, PCC-hippocampal connectivity was negatively associated with avoidance/numbing symptoms (r = -.37, p = .002; Figure 2A); that is, reduced PCC-hippocampal connectivity was associated with greater avoid-ance/numbing symptoms. This association was not significant for control subjects (r = -.09, p = .58; Figure 2B). There were no significant associations between PCC-hippocampal connectivity and re-experiencing (PTSD: r = -.16, p = .21; control subjects: r = .01, p = .94) or hyperarousal (PTSD: r = -.18, p = .15; control subjects: r = .18, p = .24) symptoms. The functional connectivity between the vMPFC and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex was not significantly associated with any PTSD symptom cluster score.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine restingstate functional connectivity within the subsystems of the DMN in PTSD. We found that PTSD was associated with alterations in connectivity involving the MTL subsystem, that is, with reduced correlation between the PCC and the hippocampus and reduced anticorrelation between the vMPFC and the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex. In contrast, no alterations were observed in the dMPFC subsystem of the DMN. These findings replicate past studies that have found PTSD-related disruptions in PCC-hippocampal connectivity (21,23) and extend these findings by demonstrating that connectivity alterations are specific to the MTL subsystem. They also extend previous work showing altered connectivity between the DMN and salience network (23) by demonstrating that cross-network alterations likewise are limited to the MTL subsystem of the DMN.

Alterations in the MTL subsystem may contribute to impairments in contextual fear extinction processes in PTSD because the hippocampus is involved in contextual fear extinction recall (16) and is less active during fear extinction recall in PTSD (15). The PCC has also been associated with contextual processing (52,53) and plays a role in extinction recall (17). Therefore, it is possible that the disrupted functional connectivity between the PCC and the MTL subsystem serves as a mechanism by which contextual fear extinction processes are impaired in PTSD.

Consistent with this notion, we found that functional connectivity between the PCC and hippocampus was associated with avoidance/numbing symptoms (i.e., avoidance of thoughts and feelings associated with the trauma, avoidance of reminders of the trauma, or inability to recall an important aspect of the trauma), such that PTSD individuals with reduced PCC-hippocampal functional connectivity exhibited more symptoms. The association between avoidance and the MTL subsystem reported here is in line with the findings of Sripada et al. (54), who reported a link between vMPFChippocampal connectivity and PTSD symptomatology. Together, these studies point to the importance of the MTL subsystem in avoidance symptoms. A recent animal lesion study examining approach-avoidance conflict found that rats with ventral hippocampal lesions were significantly more avoidant of a previously learned aversive cue during the extinction phase than sham control subjects (55), suggesting that disruptions in the hippocampus and associated alterations in extinction recall may contribute to increased avoidance behaviors. In light of the notion that both the PCC and hippocampus may be important for fear extinction, we suggest that disruptions in the functional connectivity between the PCC and hippocampus may disrupt extinction recall and consequently lead to avoidance behavior.

Alterations in connectivity between the PCC and the MTL subsystem may also disrupt autobiographical memory processes and thereby contribute to the maintenance of PTSD. The medial prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and PCC are critical components of an autobiographical retrieval network (56–58). Previous work suggests that activity in the PCC and hippocampus is associated with successful retrieval of autobiographical events (56,58,59), and notably that the functional connectivity between the PCC and hippocampus is involved in episodic autobiographical remembering (60,61). Individuals

For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2017. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.



Figure 2. The association between Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) avoidance/numbing score and functional connectivity between the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and hippocampus in (A) individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and (B) trauma-exposed control subjects.

with PTSD tend to retrieve overgeneral autobiographical memories (i.e., generic memories that are devoid of specific details) (62–64). This tendency to retrieve overgeneral memories may be associated with alterations in a PCC-hippocampal pathway that is important for retrieving details of autobiographical memories. Moreover, as overgeneral memory is associated with avoidance (64–66), this could also explain the observed relationship between PCC-hippocampal connectivity and avoidance/numbing symptoms.

Interestingly, recent work by King *et al.* (26) found that improvement in avoidance symptoms in PTSD after a mindfulness therapy program was associated with increased PCC– dorsolateral prefrontal cortex connectivity. This finding may suggest that a therapeutic intervention that engages the central executive network, such as mindfulness, may mitigate avoidance symptoms and, speculatively, may normalize the aberrant connectivity observed between the PCC and hippocampus in our study. However, more research is needed to further investigate this possibility.

In addition to reduced functional connectivity within the MTL subsystem, our findings revealed disrupted functional connectivity between this subsystem and a region outside of the DMN. Specifically, we found decreased anticorrelation between the vMPFC and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, a region that is commonly associated with the salience network (67,68). The salience network is a network of paralimbic structures that is involved in externally directed task engagement and is important for identifying and responding to salient stimuli (68). The dorsal anterior cingulate cortex has been implicated in fear expression (69,70) and is hyperresponsive in PTSD (1,5,71,72), and the vMPFC has also been associated with fear expression, with disruptions leading to an

exaggerated fear response (2,4). Although alterations between the vMPFC and the amygdala have been postulated as a critical mechanism for exaggerated fear response and hypervigilance (73–75), our results suggest that disrupted functional connectivity between the vMPFC and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex may similarly be involved. Surprisingly, these findings were not associated with hyperarousal symptoms, suggesting that vMPFC-dorsal anterior cingulate cortex connectivity may not be directly involved in fear-related behavioral manifestations.

Interestingly, in a sample of youth with PTSD, connectivity between the DMN and salience network was positively related to feelings of hopelessness, a finding interpreted to suggest that anticorrelation might be associated with the perception of symptom controllability when engaged in external tasks (76). It is interesting to consider the possibility that the reduced anticorrelation observed in the current study might similarly reflect the subjective interpretation of hypervigilance, although parallels between adult and pediatric PTSD should be drawn cautiously given evidence for increased cross-network anticorrelations in youth with PTSD, a finding thought to reflect a compensatory mechanism (76).

PTSD and depression were highly comorbid in our sample, raising a question concerning the specificity of our findings. Complicating this question, PTSD encompasses an array of symptoms, some shared with depression and some unique. Indeed, some have argued that PTSD and depression in the aftermath of trauma are best thought of as a single, general traumatic stress response (77). Nonetheless, when depression was included in the model, PTSD remained significantly associated with PCC-hippocampal and vMPFC-dorsal anterior cingulate cortex connectivity,

Downloaded from ClinicalKey.com at President and Fellows of Harvard College on behalf of Harvard University March 09, 2017.

For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright @2017. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

suggesting that posterior DMN disruptions may be specific to PTSD.

In addition, our study included a number of participants with a history of deployment-related mTBI, raising the possibility that mTBI contributed to the findings. However, mTBI was not significantly associated with PCC-hippocampal or vMPFC-dorsal anterior cingulate cortex functional connectivity, suggesting that disruptions in the MTL subsystem of the DMN are not the result of mTBI. Nonetheless, more work is needed to further investigate whether mTBI may moderate functional connectivity in PTSD.

Some limitations of the current study should be noted. First, our sample was predominantly male and consisted of veterans who had sustained combat-related trauma. It is unknown whether the findings would generalize to females and noncombat related PTSD samples. Recent studies suggest that disruptions in DMN connectivity are also present in noncombat related PTSD samples (21,33), although it is unknown whether the disruption is limited to a particular default mode subsystem. Second, this study focused on the DMN subsystems in PTSD and did not examine within-network alterations in other resting state networks. There is evidence that PTSD is associated with functional connectivity alterations within the salience (23,27,54) and central executive (22,36,78) networks, and as such, it will be important for future work to examine the contributions of disruptions in these networks to clinical presentations of the disorder. Third, the current study used a liberal cluster defining threshold of p < .01. However, recent evidence suggests that the familywise error was likely reduced by virtue of the fact that the between-subject variance in resting state data tends to be overestimated in FLAME, hence resulting in a conservative clusterwise inference (50). Moreover, results were confirmed using AFNI's autocorrelation feature that accounts for heavier Gaussian tails. Nonetheless, it will be important for future studies to validate these findings with other statistical methods. Lastly, this is a cross-sectional study and does not allow for causal inferences. Longitudinal research is needed to determine whether these functional connectivity disruptions reflect risk factors or consequences of PTSD.

In summary, we report functional connectivity alterations selective to the MTL subsystem of the DMN in PTSD. Specifically, individuals with PTSD compared to traumaexposed control subjects showed reduced functional connectivity between the PCC and the hippocampus, and this altered connectivity was associated with increased avoidance/numbing symptoms. In addition, we found disruptions in functional connectivity between the MTL subsystem and the salience network, providing evidence for diminished network segregation in PTSD. Together, these results suggest that disruptions in the MTL subsystem may be an important factor in PTSD pathology and symptomatology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Rehabilitation Research and Development Service Grant No. I01RX000216 (to MV) and Career Development Award E7822W (to SMH), the Department of Veterans Affairs Clinical Science Research and Development Service (MV), the National Center for PTSD (JPH), and the National Institutes of Health Grant No. K23MH084013 (to JPH). This work was further supported with resources and the use of facilities at the Neuroimaging Research for Veterans Center, Veterans Affairs Boston Healthcare System.

We thank Kathryn Bousquet, Keely Burke, and Aubrey Wank for assistance with magnetic resonance imaging data collection.

Presented in part as a poster at the 45th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience, October 17–21, 2015, Chicago, Illinois.

The contents of this article do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, the National Institutes of Health, or the United States Government.

All authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology (DRM), Boston University School of Medicine; Memory Disorders Research Center (DRM, SMH, GL, MV) and the Neuroimaging Research for Veterans Center (SMH, JPH, JMS), Veterans Affairs Boston Healthcare System; Department of Psychiatry (SMH, JPH, JMS, GL, MV), Boston University School of Medicine; and the National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (JPH), Veterans Affairs Boston Healthcare System, Boston, Massachusetts.

Address correspondence to Danielle R. Miller, Ph.D., Memory Disorders Research Center, VA Boston Healthcare System (151A), 150 South Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02130; E-mail: dmiller3@bu.edu.

Received Jul 18, 2016; revised Dec 15, 2016; accepted Dec 30, 2016.

Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.12.006.

REFERENCES

- Admon R, Milad MR, Hendler T (2013): A causal model of posttraumatic stress disorder: Disentangling predisposed from acquired neural abnormalities. Trends Cogn Sci 17:337–347.
- Rauch SL, Shin LM, Phelps EA (2006): Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and extinction: Human neuroimaging research—past, present, and future. Biol Psychiatry 60:376–382.
- Liberzon I, Sripada CS (2008): The functional neuroanatomy of PTSD: A critical review. Prog Brain Res 167:151–169.
- Shin LM, Liberzon I (2010): The neurocircuitry of fear, stress, and anxiety disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:169–191.
- Pitman RK, Rasmusson AM, Koenen KC, Shin LM, Orr SP, Gilbertson MW, et al. (2012): Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci 13:769–787.
- Vermetten E, Lanius RA (2012): Biological and clinical framework for posttraumatic stress disorder. Handb Clin Neurol 106:291–342.
- Gilboa A (2016): Functional neuroanatomy of PTSD: Developmental cytoarchitectonic trends, memory systems and control processes. In: Safir MP, Wallach HS, Rizzo A, editors. Future Directions in Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. New York: Springer, 213–241.
- Bremner JD, Staib LH, Kaloupek D, Southwick SM, Soufer R, Charney DS (1999): Neural correlates of exposure to traumatic pictures and sound in Vietnam combat veterans with and without posttraumatic stress disorder: A positron emission tomography study. Biol Psychiatry 45:806–816.
- Bremner JD, Narayan M, Staib LH, Southwick SM, McGlashan T, Charney DS (1999): Neural correlates of memories of childhood sexual abuse in women with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 156:1787–1795.
- 10. Hou C, Liu J, Wang K, Li L, Liang M, He Z, *et al.* (2007): Brain responses to symptom provocation and trauma-related short-term memory recall in coal mining accident survivors with acute severe PTSD. Brain Res 1144:165–174.
- Rougemont-Bucking A, Linnman C, Zeffiro TA, Zeidan MA, Lebron-Milad K, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, *et al.* (2011): Altered processing of contextual information during fear extinction in PTSD: An fMRI study. CNS Neurosci Ther 17:227–236.
- Shin LM, Orr SP, Carson MA, Rauch SL, Macklin ML, Lasko NB, et al. (2004): Regional cerebral blood flow in the amygdala and medial

prefrontal cortex during traumatic imagery in male and female Vietnam veterans with PTSD. Arch Gen Psychiatry 61:168–176.

- Shin LM, Whalen PJ, Pitman RK, Bush G, Macklin ML, Lasko NB, et al. (2001): An fMRI study of anterior cingulate function in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 50:932–942.
- Bremner JD, Vermetten E, Schmahl C, Vaccarino V, Vythilingam M, Afzal N, et al. (2005): Positron emission tomographic imaging of neural correlates of a fear acquisition and extinction paradigm in women with childhood sexual-abuse-related post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychol Med 35:791–806.
- Milad MR, Pitman RK, Ellis CB, Gold AL, Shin LM, Lasko NB, et al. (2009): Neurobiological basis of failure to recall extinction memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 66:1075–1082.
- Milad MR, Wright CI, Orr SP, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ, Rauch SL (2007): Recall of fear extinction in humans activates the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in concert. Biol Psychiatry 62: 446–454.
- Phelps EA, Delgado MR, Nearing KI, LeDoux JE (2004): Extinction learning in humans: Role of the amygdala and vmPFC. Neuron 43: 897–905.
- Barrett J, Armony JL (2009): Influence of trait anxiety on brain activity during the acquisition and extinction of aversive conditioning. Psychol Med 39:255–265.
- Kalisch R, Korenfeld E, Stephan KE, Weiskopf N, Seymour B, Dolan RJ (2006): Context-dependent human extinction memory is mediated by a ventromedial prefrontal and hippocampal network. J Neurosci 26: 9503–9511.
- Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL (2001): A default mode of brain function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:676–682.
- Bluhm RL, Williamson PC, Osuch EA, Frewen PA, Stevens TK, Boksman K, et al. (2009): Alterations in default network connectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder related to early-life trauma. J Psychiatry Neurosci 34:187–194.
- Patel R, Spreng RN, Shin LM, Girard TA (2012): Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and beyond: A meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 36: 2130–2142.
- Sripada RK, King AP, Welsh RC, Garfinkel SN, Wang X, Sripada CS, et al. (2012): Neural dysregulation in posttraumatic stress disorder: Evidence for disrupted equilibrium between salience and default mode brain networks. Psychosom Med 74:904–911.
- Qin LD, Wang Z, Sun YW, Wan JQ, Su SS, Zhou Y, et al. (2012): A preliminary study of alterations in default network connectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder patients following recent trauma. Brain Res 1484:50–56.
- Kennis M, van Rooij SJ, van den Heuvel MP, Kahn RS, Geuze E (2016): Functional network topology associated with posttraumatic stress disorder in veterans. Neuroimage Clin 10:302–309.
- 26. King AP, Block SR, Sripada RK, Rauch S, Giardino N, Favorite T, et al. (2016): Altered default mode network (DMN) resting state functional connectivity following a mindfulness-based exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in combat Veterans of Afghanistan and Iraq. Depress Anxiety 33:289–299.
- Koch SB, van Zuiden M, Nawijn L, Frijling JL, Veltman DJ, Olff M (2016): Aberrant resting-state brain activity in posttraumatic stress disorder: A meta-analysis and systematic review. Depress Anxiety 33: 592–605.
- Andrews-Hanna JR, Reidler JS, Sepulcre J, Poulin R, Buckner RL (2010): Functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default network. Neuron 65:550–562.
- 29. Hayes SM, Salat DH, Verfaellie M (2012): Default network connectivity in medial temporal lobe amnesia. J Neurosci 32:14622–14629.
- Andrews-Hanna JR, Smallwood J, Spreng RN (2014): The default network and self-generated thought: Component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1316:29–52.
- **31.** Northoff G, Bermpohl F (2004): Cortical midline structures and the self. Trends Cogn Sci 8:102–107.

- Gusnard DA, Akbudak E, Shulman GL, Raichle ME (2001): Medial prefrontal cortex and self-referential mental activity: Relation to a default mode of brain function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 4259–4264.
- Zhou Y, Wang Z, Qin LD, Wan JQ, Sun YW, Su SS, et al. (2012): Early altered resting-state functional connectivity predicts the severity of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in acutely traumatized subjects. PLoS One 7:e46833.
- Rubin DC, Berntsen D, Bohni MK (2008): A memory-based model of posttraumatic stress disorder: Evaluating basic assumptions underlying the PTSD diagnosis. Psychol Rev 115:985–1011.
- Elzinga BM, Bremner JD (2002): Are the neural substrates of memory the final common pathway in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)? J Affect Disord 70:1–17.
- Daniels JK, McFarlane AC, Bluhm RL, Moores KA, Clark CR, Shaw ME, et al. (2010): Switching between executive and default mode networks in posttraumatic stress disorder: Alterations in functional connectivity. J Psychiatry Neurosci 35:258–266.
- 37. Tombaugh TN, Tombaugh PW (1996): Test of Memory Malingering: TOMM. Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems.
- Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, Kaloupek DG, Gusman FD, Charney DS, et al. (1995): The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. J Trauma Stress 8:75–90.
- Weathers F, Huska J, Keane T (1991): The PTSD Checklist Military Version (PCL-M). Boston, MA: National Center for PTSD, p 42.
- Verfaellie M, Lafleche G, Spiro A 3rd, Tun C, Bousquet K (2013): Chronic postconcussion symptoms and functional outcomes in OEF/ OIF veterans with self-report of blast exposure. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 19:1–10.
- Jenkinson M, Bannister P, Brady M, Smith S (2002): Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. Neuroimage 17:825–841.
- 42. Smith SM (2002): Fast robust automated brain extraction. Hum Brain Mapp 17:143–155.
- **43.** Jenkinson M, Smith S (2001): A global optimisation method for robust affine registration of brain images. Med Image Anal 5:143–156.
- Andersson JLR, Jenkinson M, Smith SM (2007): Non-linear optimisation. FMRIB technical report TR07JA1. Available at: http://www.fmrib. ox.ac.uk/datasets/techrep/tr07ja1/tr07ja1.pdf. Accessed January 15, 2017.
- Andersson JLR, Jenkinson M, Smith SM (2007): Non-linear registration, aka spatial normalisation. FMRIB technical report TR07JA2. Available at: http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/datasets/techrep/tr07ja2/ tr07ja2.pdf. Accessed January 15, 2017.
- Murphy K, Birn RM, Handwerker DA, Jones TB, Bandettini PA (2009): The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: Are anti-correlated networks introduced? Neuroimage 44:893–905.
- Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM (2003): General multilevel linear modeling for group analysis in FMRI. Neuroimage 20: 1052–1063.
- Woolrich MW, Behrens TE, Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM (2004): Multilevel linear modelling for FMRI group analysis using Bayesian inference. Neuroimage 21:1732–1747.
- 49. Woolrich M (2008): Robust group analysis using outlier inference. Neuroimage 41:286–301.
- Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H (2016): Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:7900–7905.
- Cox RW (1996): AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. Comput Biomed Res 29:162–173.
- Baeuchl C, Meyer P, Hoppstadter M, Diener C, Flor H (2015): Contextual fear conditioning in humans using feature-identical contexts. Neurobiol Learn Mem 121:1–11.
- Szpunar KK, Chan JC, McDermott KB (2009): Contextual processing in episodic future thought. Cereb Cortex 19:1539–1548.
- Sripada RK, King AP, Garfinkel SN, Wang X, Sripada CS, Welsh RC, et al. (2012): Altered resting-state amygdala functional connectivity in

men with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiatry Neurosci 37: 241–249.

- Schumacher A, Vlassov E, Ito R (2016): The ventral hippocampus, but not the dorsal hippocampus is critical for learned approach-avoidance decision making. Hippocampus 26:530–542.
- Addis DR, McIntosh AR, Moscovitch M, Crawley AP, McAndrews MP (2004): Characterizing spatial and temporal features of autobiographical memory retrieval networks: A partial least squares approach. Neuroimage 23:1460–1471.
- Svoboda E, Levine B (2009): The effects of rehearsal on the functional neuroanatomy of episodic autobiographical and semantic remembering: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurosci 29: 3073–3082.
- Svoboda E, McKinnon MC, Levine B (2006): The functional neuroanatomy of autobiographical memory: A meta-analysis. Neuropsychologia 44:2189–2208.
- Ryan L, Nadel L, Keil K, Putnam K, Schnyer D, Trouard T, et al. (2001): Hippocampal complex and retrieval of recent and very remote autobiographical memories: Evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging in neurologically intact people. Hippocampus 11:707–714.
- Sheldon S, Farb N, Palombo DJ, Levine B (2016): Intrinsic medial temporal lobe connectivity relates to individual differences in episodic autobiographical remembering. Cortex 74:206–216.
- Sheldon S, Levine B (2013): Same as it ever was: Vividness modulates the similarities and differences between the neural networks that support retrieving remote and recent autobiographical memories. Neuroimage 83:880–891.
- Ono M, Devilly GJ, Shum DH (2016): A meta-analytic review of overgeneral memory: The role of trauma history, mood, and the presence of posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychol Trauma 8:157–164.
- Moore SA, Zoellner LA (2007): Overgeneral autobiographical memory and traumatic events: An evaluative review. Psychol Bull 133: 419–437.
- Schonfeld S, Ehlers A, Bollinghaus I, Rief W (2007): Overgeneral memory and suppression of trauma memories in post-traumatic stress disorder. Memory 15:339–352.
- Williams JM (2006): Capture and rumination, functional avoidance, and executive control (CaRFAX): Three processes that underlie overgeneral memory. Cogn Emot 20:548–568.

- Hauer BJA, Wessel I, Merckelbach H (2006): Intrusions, avoidance and overgeneral memory in a non-clinical sample. Clin Psychol Psychother 13:264–268.
- Menon V, Uddin LQ (2010): Saliency, switching, attention and control: A network model of insula function. Brain Struct Funct 214: 655–667.
- Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, et al. (2007): Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. J Neurosci 27:2349–2356.
- Etkin A, Egner T, Kalisch R (2011): Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex. Trends Cogn Sci 15:85–93.
- Mechias ML, Etkin A, Kalisch R (2010): A meta-analysis of instructed fear studies: Implications for conscious appraisal of threat. Neuroimage 49:1760–1768.
- Hayes JP, Hayes SM, Mikedis AM (2012): Quantitative meta-analysis of neural activity in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Mood Anxiety Disord 2:9.
- Pannu Hayes J, Labar KS, Petty CM, McCarthy G, Morey RA (2009): Alterations in the neural circuitry for emotion and attention associated with posttraumatic stress symptomatology. Psychiatry Res 172:7–15.
- Sadeh N, Spielberg JM, Warren SL, Miller GA, Heller W (2014): Aberrant neural connectivity during emotional processing associated with posttraumatic stress. Clin Psychol Sci 2:748–755.
- Wolf RC, Herringa RJ (2015): Prefrontal-amygdala dysregulation to threat in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 41:822–831.
- Stevens JS, Jovanovic T, Fani N, Ely TD, Glover EM, Bradley B, et al. (2013): Disrupted amygdala-prefrontal functional connectivity in civilian women with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiatr Res 47: 1469–1478.
- Patriat R, Birn RM, Keding TJ, Herringa RJ (2016): Default-mode network abnormalities in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 55:319–327.
- O'Donnell ML, Creamer M, Pattison P (2004): Posttraumatic stress disorder and depression following trauma: Understanding comorbidity. Am J Psychiatry 161:1390–1396.
- Zhang Y, Xie B, Chen H, Li M, Liu F (2016): Abnormal functional connectivity density in post-traumatic stress disorder. Brain Topogr 29:405–411.

9